

From: [REDACTED]
To: [M25 Junction 28](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: London Borough of Havering Deadline 5 Submission TR010029 -Response to Updated draft Development Consent Order REP4-009
Date: 13 April 2021 20:11:10
Attachments: [LBHaveringResponsetoREP4-009 - 13042021.pdf](#)

Dear Planning Inspectorate,

Please find attached the London Borough of Havering (20025659) submission for Deadline 5 for the M25/J28 Improvement Scheme (TR010029). This submission concerns LB Havering's response to the Updated draft Development Consent Order REP4-009.

Regards,

Daniel

Daniel Douglas | Team Leader Transport Planning

London Borough of Havering | Planning
Mercury House, Mercury Gardens, Romford, RM1 3SL

[REDACTED]
www.havering.gov.uk

Cleaner, Safer, Prouder Together

Sign up for [email updates](#) for local news and information

This document is strictly confidential and is intended only for use by the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or other action taken in reliance of the information contained in this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Any views expressed by the sender of this message are not necessarily those of the London Borough of Havering. If you have received this transmission in error, please use the reply function to tell us and then permanently delete what you have received. This email was scanned for viruses by the London Borough of Havering anti-virus services and on leaving the Authority was found to be virus free. Please note: Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications.

Havering Council's Privacy Notice can be found on our website Data Protection, https://www.havering.gov.uk/info/20044/council_data_and_spending/139/data_protection, which outlines your rights and how we collect, use, store, delete and protect your personal data.



Havering
LONDON BOROUGH

Daniel Douglas
Team Leader Transport Planning
Planning
London Borough of Havering
Mercury House
Mercury Gardens
Romford
RM1 3SL

Mr Richard Allen
Lead Member of the Examining Authority

text relay

13th April 2021

www.havering.gov.uk

Dear Sir,

London Borough of Havering (20025659) – Response to Updated draft DCO submitted at Deadline 4 (REP4-009)

Following on from the Applicant's submissions for Deadline 4, LB Havering wishes to comment on the revisions to the draft DCO.

On review of the revisions to the Draft DCO Havering would like to iterate the points it made to the Examining Authority following on from the submissions for Deadline 3B (REP3B-006) and at Deadline 4 (REP4-029).

LBH still holds the view that additional Requirements, as set out in its letter are still required:

- i) To the lack of certainty in the ability of the Applicant to secure access onto the land to carry out the necessary trial trenching that will ensure that the Archaeological Management Plan is effective in dealing with the archaeological sensitive areas that have not been assessed through the baseline field work.
- ii) To protect its residents from noise during construction. The Applicant has provided no further surety to Havering that they commit to and back up in S61 agreements (with details actions for the treatment) for Grove Farm, Maylands Cottages, Gardens of Peace, Putwell Bridge Caravan Park, 17 Colchester Road and 12 Craven Garden.

LB Havering is disappointed that the Applicant has not taken up the Examining Authority's proposals for two additional Requirements to support the provision of an inter-green and the proposed wider NMU route in the vicinity of M25/J28. Havering still supports the proposal for these two Requirements.

LB Havering remains concerned that Requirement 4 and 9 retains the wording "substantially in accordance with". The revised Requirement 10 Traffic Management also includes the phrase "substantially in accordance with" as does the new Requirement 11 Trees. Havering wishes to see this phrase removed from all Requirements in the Draft

Cleaner, Safer, Prouder Together

DCO. If the word “substantially” was removed from these Requirements then LBH would be in a position to remove its objection to these Requirements.

LB Havering notes that the Outline Traffic Management Plan (OTMP) is not listed in Requirement 4 (2) and questions if this is an omission or drafting error.

LB Havering welcomes the addition to Requirement 13 Fencing for the protection of deer. Havering also welcomes Part 2 Procedures for the Discharge of Requirements Requirement 18 Details of Consultation which enables Havering’s detailed comments on any matter of consultation to be submitted to the Secretary of State. This will ensure that Havering’s comments and concerns are registered appropriately.

With regards to other articles in the dDCO, Havering remains concerned that Article 13 (1) and Article 18 (2) still retains the words “any road “ which LB Havering believe is excessive and goes beyond the need of the DCO. Reference should be made to “roads within the red line boundary” to provide surety to the residents of Havering as to which roads may be affected.

It should be noted that Havering still object to the timelines set out for Deemed Consent and maintains the position it set out at Deadline 4 within REP4-031.

Yours faithfully,


Daniel Douglas,
Team Leader Transport Planning